

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,472	08/29/2001	Katsuji Kimura	Q65962	4891
5	7590 07/16/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
	0 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. shington, DC 20037		NGUYEN, MINH T	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		an				
	Applicati n N .	pplicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/940,472	KIMURA, KATSUJI				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh Nguyen	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sh et with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		7/14/03				
		Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2816				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are still believed to be proper, and therefore, are maintained. The arguments still fail to show why the claims (having the structure shown in Fig. 1 in the present invention) functioned differently from the prior art circuit (having the structure shown in Fig. 3), i.e., the Examiner still can not find the difference between the claimed structure (Fig.1 of the present invention) and the prior art structure (Fig. 3 of Kimura).